

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:08-cr-00311-RLH-GWF

vs.

ARMEN PALIKYAN,  
HAGOB PALIKYAN,  
ARMEN AMBARTSUMYAN,  
GARY AMBARTSUMYAN,  
VAKHTANG GASPARIAN,  
ARTUR AMBARTSUMYAN,  
IYAD NAZZAL, and  
DANIMYAR DOSUNKULOV.

### Defendants.

## **ORDER** **and RECOMMENDATIONS**

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Danimyar Dosunkulov's Motion for Joinder in Defendants Hagob Palikyan and Armen Palikyan's Joint Motion to Dismiss All Counts for Investigative Misconduct Resulting in a Due Process Violation (Dkt. #220) and Defendant Dosunkulov's Motion for Joinder in Defendants Hagob Palikyan and Armen Palikyan's Joint Motion to Dismiss Counts Four Through Nine of the Indictment and All Allegations Related to the Aggravated Identity Theft Penalty Enhancement (Dkt. #221), filed February 23, 2010.

To date, no party has opposed the present motions and the time for opposition has expired. As a result, the Court will grant the motions for joinder. However, the District Judge previously denied Defendant's Motion to Dismiss All Counts for Investigative Misconduct Resulting in a Due Process Violation (Dkt. #75) based on the Findings and Recommendations of the Court. (See Dkt. #s 95, 133). Therefore, the Court recommends that Defendant Dosunkulov's Motion to Dismiss All Counts for Investigative Misconduct Resulting in a Due Process Violation pursuant to Joinder

be denied on the same basis. (See Dkt. #95). Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Danimyar Dosunkulov's Motion for Joinder in Defendants Hagob Palikyan and Armen Palikyan's Joint Motion to Dismiss All Counts for Investigative Misconduct Resulting in a Due Process Violation (Dkt. #220) is **granted**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant Dosunkulov's Motion for Joinder in Defendants Hagob Palikyan's and Armen Palikyan's Joint Motion to Dismiss Counts Four Through Nine of the Indictment and All Allegations Related to the Aggravated Identity Theft Penalty Enhancement (Dkt. #221) is **granted**.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

**IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED** that Defendant Dosunkulov's Motion to Dismiss All Counts For Investigative Misconduct Resulting in a Due Process Violation pursuant to Joinder be **denied.**

## **NOTICE**

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

DATED this 17th day of March, 2010.

*George Foley, Jr.*  
**GEORGE FOLEY, JR.**  
United States Magistrate Judge